UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,052	10/15/2003	Adam Jude Ahne	2003-0115.02	7000	
			EXAM	INER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT			TRA, ANH QUAN		
10/686,052 10/15/2003 Adam Jude Ahne 21972 7590 12/22/2006 LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
LEXINGTON,	KY 40550-0999		2816		
			·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/22/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/686,052		AHNE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Quan Tra		2816			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the co	over sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
	, •	OFT TO		N OF THEFT!			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES IN THE MAILING DAMES IN THE MAILING DAMES IN THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was the provisions of the provisions of the provision of the provi	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex . cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time spire SIX (6) MONTHS from the	. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	<u>ctober 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-	-final.				
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	<i>le</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 450	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11 and 24-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 12-23 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	uirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note	the attached Office A	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been r	eceived				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			n No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	*		· ·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary (I	PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/15/03</u> .	5) 6)		tent Application			
•		. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group II, claims 12-23, in the reply filed on 10/30/06 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 12 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carpenter, Jr. et al. (USP 6469884) in view of Yamazaki et al. (USP 5180967).

As to claim 12, Carpenter, Jr. et al.'s figure 1 shows a fuse sensor, but fails to show the detail of the current source that coupled to circuit 12. However, Yamazaki et al.'s figure 2 shows a constant current source. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use Yamazaki et al.'s current source for Carpenter, Jr. et al.'s current source for the purpose of providing a constant current source to the circuit. It is noted that Yamazaki's Vdd is now Carpenter's Vcp. Thus, the modified Carpenter's figure 1 shows: a first transistor (MNread) defining a read input for receiving a read signal, a first terminal coupled to the fusible link (F1), and a second terminal coupled to an output port; and a second transistor (Yamazaki's 216) having a bias input biased to a voltage reference (Vcp via 206, 214), having a third terminal coupled to the second terminal of the first transistor, and a fourth terminal coupled to a ground.

As to claims 14-21, the modified Carpenter's figure 1 fails to show that an output voltage at the output port in a range of about 1 volt to about 2.5 volts or about 1.5 volts signifies that the

Art Unit: 2816

fusible link is opened; or an output voltage at the output port indicates that the fusible link is opened when the fusible link has a resistance in a range of about 1 k ohms to about 2 k ohms, about 15 k ohms to about 30 k ohms, about 1 k ohms, 2 k ohms, 17 k ohms, or 27 k ohms. However, The selection of the above values is seen as an obvious matter of preference bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization because applicant has not disclosed that the limitation is for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or is otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another relative frequency. Indeed, it has been held that optimization of range limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See MPEP 2144.05(II). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to select the output voltage value (by selecting supply voltage Vcc or the size of the transistors) or the opened fuse resistance value to be one of the above values dependent upon particular environment of use to ensure optimum performance.

As to claim 22, the modified Carpenter's figure 1 shows a third transistor (Mnmain) having an input terminal coupled to the voltage reference (via the current source), having a fifth terminal coupled between the fusible link and the first terminal of the first transistor, and having a sixth terminal coupled to ground.

As to claim 23, it is known that ink jet printhead and an ink jet printer has fuse sense circuit. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the modified Carpenter's figure 1 in ink jet printhead and an ink jet printer for the purpose of provide better protection for the ink jet printhead and an ink jet printer.

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carpenter, Jr. et al. (USP 6469884) in view of Yamazaki et al. (USP 5180967) and Erstad (USP 6833749).

Application/Control Number: 10/686,052 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

The modified Carpenter's figure 1 further fails to show the detail of circuit 12. However, Erstad's figure 2 shows a Schmitt trigger circuit having low noise. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use Erstad's Schmitt trigger circuit for Carpenter's Schmitt trigger circuit for the purpose of reducing noise. Thus, the modified Carpenter's figure 1 further shows an inverter circuit (Estard's 230) coupled between the second terminal of the first transistor and the output port.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quan Tra whose telephone number is 571-272-1755. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QUAN TRA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2816

December 12, 2006